## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN-DEARBORN

## Stochastic Day-Ahead Resource Scheduling for Economic Operation of Residential Green House

Yi Guo, Jingwei Xiong, Xuanchen Liu, and Dr. Wencong Su Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Michigan-Dearborn





Copyright © 2014 Wencong Su (wencong@umich.edu)

#### **Background:**

- Commercial and residential buildings consumed almost 40% of the primary energy and approximately 70% of the electricity in United States
- The energy used by the buildings sector accounts for approximately 47% of the country's carbon emissions and continues to increase.



Data Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA)





Power & Energy Society\*

## **Motivations:**

- Increase the utilization of distributed renewable energy resources
- Reduce carbon emissions and non-renewable resource consumption
- Need a promising solution from economic, environmental and political perspectives



## **Objectives:**

- To utilize as much self-generated renewable electricity as possible to satisfy the power demand of residential green house (RGH)
- To minimize the day-ahead operational cost of RGH while satisfying customer's preference and various operational constraints







- On-site renewable energy generation (e.g., PV panel, wind turbine)
- Distributed energy storage devices (e.g., electric vehicle, battery pack)
- Controllable load (e.g., smart appliances)





#### **Challenges:**

- Uncertainty: The inherent intermittency and variability of distributed renewable energy resources (e.g., wind and solar) complicate the real-world operations.
- Forecasting error of renewable generation is still large
- Need to satisfy a number of physical and cyber constraints, as well as customer's preference
- Self-confined and self-balanced small system
- Utilities are concerned about how the high penetration of RGH will affect the grid stability.
- Need a well-justified business model









#### The Day-ahead Resource Scheduling Process



The deterministic approach is sensitive to the point forecasting errors.



- Formulated the scenario-based stochastic energy scheduling
- Explicit representation of the uncertainty in problem formulation
- Minimize the expectation of costs

$$f_{total} = f_{grid} + f_{DESD} + f_{solar}$$



 $\rho_t$ : day-ahead electricity marketing price (\$/kWh) at *t*-th time interval.

 $P_U^t \& P_H^t$ : the power consumption rate (kW) of uncontrollable loads and HVAC system, respectively, at t-th time interval

 $P_{B,c}^{t,s}$  &  $P_{B,d}^{t,s}$ : DESD (e.g. battery banks) charging and discharging process in scenario s, at t-th time interval

 $P_G^{t,s}$ : the on-site renewable generation (kW) in scenario s, at t-th time interval

 $P_C \& P_D$ : the rated power (kW) of clothes washer and dryer

prob<sup>s</sup>: an equal probability of all the scenarios

 $u_{C}^{t}, u_{D}^{t}, u_{B,c}^{t} \& u_{B,d}^{t}$ : the ON/OFF status (e.g. 1/0) of clothes washer, dryer, charge and discharge process of DESD, respectively  $\eta$ : the degradation cost coefficient (\$/kW) for DESD.





#### **System constraints:**

Local constraints of controllable loads

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t=1}^{t_{C}^{l}} u_{C}^{t} &\geq 1, \qquad \sum_{t=1}^{t_{D}^{l}} u_{D}^{t} \geq 1, \\ -u_{C}^{t} + u_{C}^{t+1} - \frac{1}{t_{C}^{o} - 1} \sum_{i=t+2}^{t+t_{C}^{o}} u_{C}^{i} &\leq 0 \\ -u_{D}^{t} + u_{D}^{t+1} - \frac{1}{t_{D}^{o} - 1} \sum_{i=t+2}^{t+t_{D}^{o}} u_{D}^{i} &\leq 0 \\ \sum_{t=1}^{M} u_{C}^{t} &\geq t_{C}^{o} * u_{D}^{M+1}, 1 \leq M \leq N-1 \end{split}$$

- DESD limits
  - $(SoC_{min} SoC_{init}) \times P_B^{total} \le \sum_{1}^{N} P_{B,c}^t \sum_{1}^{N} P_{B,d}^t \le (SoC_{max} SoC_{init}) \times P_B^{total}$
  - $u_{B,c}^t c \leq P_{B,c}^t \leq u_{B,c}^t P_B^{max}$
  - $u_{B,d}^t P_B^{min} \leq P_{B,d}^t \leq u_{B,d}^t P_B^{max}$
  - $u_{B,c}^k + u_{B,d}^k \le 1$
  - $P_{B,c}^{t} + P_{C} + P_{D} P_{G}^{t} + P_{U}^{t} + P_{H}^{t} \ge P_{B,d}^{t}$





#### **Optimization Framework:**



• Route the optimal control variables



•



#### **System Inputs:**



#### Figure 1. The outdoor temperature







#### Figure 2. The corresponding HVAC operation



Figure 4. The day-ahead electricity data from utility company



ΤT



#### **Result Analysis**

Compared the charge/discharge/standby mode of DESD and the optimal dispatch of controllable loads using both stochastic and deterministic modeling

Load	Cloth Washer	Dryer
Stochastic	3:00 am – 4:00 am	11:15 am – 12:45 pm
Deterministic	3:00 am – 4:00 am	9:15 am – 10:45 pm



DESD charge/standby/discharge (1/0/-1) Status in Deterministic and Stochastic Case





#### **Result Analysis**

- Evaluated the performance of day-ahead energy scheduling by running a economic dispatch simulation that takes into account the actual value of solar power instead of forecasted values
- Demonstrated the notable cost savings of the proposed stochastic approach over deterministic approach over 10 different days



The cost savings of stochastic modeling over deterministic modeling





τc

#### **Future Work**

- The existing framework can be extended to real-time operation design with the consideration of real-time weather input, customer preference and comfort level
- This design can be scaled up to a community prospective, since renewable generation facilities will be more easily accessible in the future, every single home can self-generate electricity and participate into the electricity market
- Adopt distributed control approaches (e.g. Distributed Model Predictive Control) to perform real-time power dispatch

▶ .....







# Thank You



